

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,835	11/13/2003	Toshikazu Morisawa	04329.3176	7845
22852 7590 01/08/2008 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER	
			CONNOLLY, MARK A	
	I NEW YORK AVENUE, NW ASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
		•		·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			`	
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/705,835	MORISAWA, TOSHIKAZU	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mark Connolly	2115	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period warre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status				
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Octoor This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-4,13 and 17 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,13 and 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the drawing and request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction and or declaration is objected to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	relection requirement. cepted or b) objected to by the Eleaving(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the properties of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan to the legan	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign part All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/07	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e	

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-4, 13 and 17 have been presented for examination.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 13 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimada¹ JP 11065712A.
- 5. Referring to claim 1, Shimada teaches the electronic apparatus having a plurality of operation modes, comprising:
 - a. a first controlling unit configured to control operation speed of a processor [¶0008].
 - b. a second controlling unit configured to control switching between drive and non-drive of a cooling fan [¶0008]. The control circuit is interpreted as comprising a first and second controlling unit since it controls both the processor speed and fan. In addition,
 - c. an operation mode setting unit configured to set a first operation mode of carrying out temperature control giving priority to the drive of the cooling fan rather than reducing the speed of the processor, and a second operation mode of carrying out temperature control giving priority to the speed reduction of the processor rather than the drive of the cooling fan [¶'s 0008-0010].

¹ As cited by applicant but translation provided by examiner.

10/705,835

Art Unit: 2115

- d. a time zone setting unit configured to set a time zone, the time zone being a power consumption concentrating time zone [¶0007].
- e. a control unit configured to carry out an operation mode changeover to select the second operation mode when current time is in the time zone set by the time zone setting unit [¶'s 0009-0010].
- 6. Referring to claim 13, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Shimada teach the apparatus and therefore teach the method performed by the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Thelander².
- 8. Referring to claims 2 and 3, although Shimada teaches setting time zones comprising a night time zone and a non-night time zone for controlling temperature either by CPU speed reduction or fan speed, it is not explicitly taught to have different shut off times for a monitor and hard disk associated for each time zone. Thelander teaches having different shut off times for a monitor and hard disks depending on the time zone the system is operating under. In particular, Thelander teaches when operating in a first normal operating mode (i.e. day scheme), turning a monitor off after the system has not been actively used for 20 minutes and a hard disk after 30 minutes [935 fig. 10]. In addition, when operating in a second power saving operation mode (i.e. night scheme), the monitor and hard disks are turned off after the system has not been actively used for 5 minutes [fig 11]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Shimada system to include the teachings of

² As cited in the previous office action.

10/705,835

Art Unit: 2115

Thelander because during night hours, it is well known that most people are sleeping and thus away from their computers thereby leaving them to idle if still powered on. By reducing the time before turning off the monitor and hard disk, additional power can be saved.

- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimada and Thelander as applied to claims 1-3 and 13 above, and further in view of Nakai³.
- 10. Referring to claim 4, although Shimada and Thelander teach operating in a quiet and low power mode during scheduled times, it is not explicitly taught how the system reduces its power consumption in relation to optical disk drives. Nakai explicitly teaches that power can be conserved in a power saving mode by reducing a disk rotation speed [col. 18 lines 12-20]. In addition, just as reducing a fan rotation speed reduces noise, it is reasonable to expect that a rotational speed of a disk drive would also reduce noise produced by the disk drive. Because the Shimada-Thelander system reduces the systems noise and power consumption in accordance with a schedule, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to reduce a disk rotation speed during a power save mode so that noise and power consumption can be minimized.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (571) 272-3666. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

³ As cited in the previous office action.

10/705,835

Art Unit: 2115

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark Connolly Examiner Art Unit 2115

mc January 2, 2008